

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/823,597	SHIROTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Mr. Perez.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 August 2005

Time: —

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

A proposed obviousness-type double patenting rejection of instant claims 1-4 over claims 1-4 of parent US 6,784,318.

Claims discussed:

1-4

Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,784,318

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

BRIAN DAVIS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative was informed that the application had been withdrawn from issue so that an inadvertently omitted obviousness-type double patenting rejection might be made. The examiner informed applicant's representative that prosecution could be advanced by the submission of a Terminal Disclaimer, otherwise a formal Office Action would be issued.